

SANTTI v. HERNANDEZ
01/30/2016

I. PRETRIAL MOTIONS

A. Plaintiff:

1. None

B. Defendant:

1. None

C. Remarks from Chief Justice Molina:

1. We are giving time limits. Opening and closing is 10 minutes. Witness presentations for both parties is 7 minutes. Can reference evidence in both points. Evidence not pertinent is stricken. All witness are required to give truthful answers and violation is perjury and violation. Witnesses are asked to stand out, being sequestered.
2. Clarification of witness sequestration due to the fact that one witness was asked to perform the opening statement. Sequestration so there is no corroboration of witnesses.

II. HEARING:

A. Trial Start Time at approximately 1:30-1:33 P.M.

B. Plaintiff's Opening Statement:

1. Time allotted: 10 minutes
2. The reason why i have brought this to the court is not because i am not questioning mr. hernandez's character, i'm just questioning the fact of the accountability we need to hold each other of. I've held myself accountable but i also need to hold him accountable for not helping me. His role in the statutes is to assist me of my job. I believe to a certain extent he has not done so.
3. I'm here on grounds of ethics too. On many occasions he has mentioned falsifying reports when it comes to surveys. We had to complete 100 surveys and he made a remark saying he would just use random ID's and submit the surveys himself.
4. Peter has used language when addressing people higher than him. P11 "I don't think we're going to have those meetings. I will do whatever I can to stop this..."

C. Defendant's Opening Statement:

1. Time allotted: 10 minutes
2. As sasha mentioned, my role here is to assist the senate speaker. On various occasions, i have whether it be the meet your team event and love your major event. I was the one that arranged meeting, which everyone attended last week. Regarding the statutes today, I am just to

assist. I did take the time during winter break to email and reach out sending friendly reminders to solve the issue of the surveys after the informal meeting. Stepping in Sasha's place, I stepped in for one meeting. Yes I take responsibility for that one meeting, but I do not think it was solely on me to remind them every meeting. I would assist her in reminders but she was at every meeting.

D. Plaintiff's Case in Chief:

1. Witness 1, Monica Reyes, Direct Examination:

- a) How long have you been part of SGA?
(1) Since last May that we got elected.
- b) Can you tell the court what your current involvement is in SGA?
(1) Yes, I am an Arts and Science senator
- c) What are your duties as Arts and Science senator?
(1) First, I have to make sure there is communication between the students and the dean. I try to meet up once a week with the dean. I try to make sure the students are happy.
- d) Do some of these duties include submitting 100 surveys?
(1) Yes, they do.
- e) Did you complete this?
(1) No, I didn't.
- f) Why not?
(1) It was reminded, but I didn't think hit was enforced enough. And it was my first time in SGA so i wasn't sure how it was down. It was hard to get everyone together then with finals it was even harder.
- g) When was this survey sent out to you originally?
(1) January or December, I do not remember the exact date to be honest
- h) Before you received the survey, did the senate work on the survey questions before that day?
(1) We mentioned it like twice in senate, but it was kind of like we could get in our groups of senators within the same college to work on them. We did this once.
- i) Do you have a range of when you received the survey or when you started working on the survey exactly?
(1) I believe it was mid-December to January. I didn't start working on it until the 2nd or 3rd week of January.
- j) So you didn't start working on the survey like filling it out until January?
(1) Correct.
- k) To your knowledge, who is responsible for sending you the senate questions?

(1) The senators who were supposed to compile the surveys and send them to you and Peter and then you guys would send them to us.

l) At any point did you hear Peter talking about the surveys?

(1) I did but he wasn't specific about the surveys but about a decision made towards him.

m) What did he say?

(1) I remember Sergio asking him why he thought the decision made was unfair. He responded well I don't think I should be punished because everyone didn't do it. There was no way I could have the surveys done by that timeline because it wasn't enough time. Sergio asked what he thought was the best solution. Peter said well I would just have to make up surveys to meet the total because there was no way he could possibly do that.

2. Witness 1, Monica Reyes, Cross Examination:

a) How many senate meetings did I chair?

(1) All of them.

b) How many senate meetings was I the senate speaker?

(1) Maybe 1 or 2.

c) Regarding the surveys, you said that you heard me say it was unfair. Per our statutes, who's responsible for completing surveys?

(1) Us, the senators.

d) How many responses do you have for Arts and Sciences?

(1) So far, that I know have actually answered, 10.

e) How many senators are there in the Arts and Sciences?

(1) 4.

f) Is it safe to say you completed survey at least once?

(1) Yes.

g) Do you think they're each filling out the surveys themselves?

(1) I would hope so.

h) Did you send out the survey?

(1) Yes, I did.

i) So there's 10 surveys and 4 senators. Do you think I would've falsified 6 survey responses?

(1) No, I don't think so.

3. Witness 2, Alian Collazo, Direct Examination:

a) You serve as the president?

(1) Yes and as university trustee.

b) You went to weekly board meetings as president?

(1) Yes I do.

- c) Are you required to attend the board meetings through the statutes?
 - (1) No, I'm not required to attend the meetings.
- d) At these eboard meetings, VP, chief of staff, chief justice, executive board, you're all required to attend?
 - (1) According to the statutes we are required to have e-board meetings, not attend them.
- e) So you know at these meetings senate leadership was told to complete the surveys?
 - (1) Eboard meetings should occur. The VP, chief of staff, chief of justice, are required to attend meeting.
- f) But you did hear it?
 - (1) I remember only one occasion where we discussed the completion of the surveys which was when they had not been completed. It was how senate leadership were going to work to complete them. They were brought up in the beginning and another time. The advisor can testify that this wasn't brought up every meeting.
- g) Was it more than once?
 - (1) Well of course.
- h) Were you present in the last eboard meeting in the fall?
 - (1) It was not more than maybe 2 or 3 times
- i) Were you present when peter said he was going to falsify surveys?
 - (1) I was present, yes.
- j) Going back to the root of question, you were there when he mentioned it?
 - (1) I was there and I was there to hear when he said why that would be really the only alternative to being able to complete them. It wasn't that he said he was going to falsify but he said if we needed to in that amount of time, 2 or 3 weeks, we cannot really complete them unless we were to falsify them. It was to falsify it which wasn't what was said.

4. Witness 2, Alian Collazo, Cross Examination:

- a) Are you familiar with the statutes article 3 section 3.02 1B (ΔA), MMC BBC Executive board?
 - (1) I am familiar with that statute, yes.
- b) Is it safe to say while you were senate speaker you gave updates to the executive board?
 - (1) Yes. I gave those updates to the executive board, not every meeting but when it was brought up.

- c) Regarding my statement, did you at any point see minutes being taken at that meeting?
 - (1) No. Minutes are never taken at E-board meetings.
 - d) So is there any documentation, maybe a recording of me saying I'm going to falsify senate surveys?
 - (1) No. other than us being there to hear what I told the plaintiff which wasn't to falsify the senate surveys.
 - e) So, I did address the issue of the senate surveys at this meeting?
 - (1) Yes you did address the issue in the sense of the timeliness.
 - f) Would you say as senate speaker pro temp i did my job to assist senate speaker by addressing the speakers?
 - (1) Yes, I think that the roles senator speaker pro is to do what is asked of them from the senate speaker.
 - g) Would you say my role is just to give examples: if you're not available to attend a meeting and stepping it, or is my role to solely be behind these surveys and remind the senators of the surveys?
 - (1) Your role as senate is to represent your constituency. Your role as senate pro tempore is to do what she asks you
5. Witness 3, Gabriela Millan, Direct Examination:
- a) How long have you been in SGA?
 - (1) 3 years.
 - b) What is your involvement in SGA?
 - (1) Comptroller
 - c) What meetings do you attend as Comptroller?
 - (1) I am required to attend 1 senate meeting a month, not required to attend cabinet, required to attend e-board meetings.
 - d) What do you discuss in these eboard meetings?
 - (1) Business we are working on separately.
 - e) You've heard of the surveys?
 - (1) Yes.
 - f) When did you first hear about it?
 - (1) End of november, beginning of december
 - g) That you know, when were they completed?
 - (1) Yet to be completed
 - h) When did you hear about that?
 - (1) Must have been towards End of november, beginning of december
 - i) What do you know about the surveys right now?
 - (1) All i know is basically every senator needs to complete 100 surveys by the end of the semester for each college

- j) How do you know about this?
 - (1) Michelle castro reminded them every eboard meeting
- k) Did the reminders happen frequently?
 - (1) Once a month, or something like that
- l) You were present at the last eboard meeting of the semester right?
 - (1) Yes
- m) Were the surveys brought up there?
 - (1) Yes
- n) What was discussed about the surveys?
 - (1) They hadn't been completed at that time. Also something about separate survey links. This whole thing that we wanted every senator to have their own links. Peter told me castro said one survey link was fine. We found it unfair because each senator wouldn't be held accountable. We spoke to castro and she made separate ones. That's when peter said he would make up surveys
- o) You said peter was going to make up surveys, do you mind elaborating on that conversation?
 - (1) Yes, the last eboard meeting he said he would put in panther Id's for his personal surveys.

6. Witness 3, Gabriela Millan, Cross Examination:

- a) You said you're required to attend 1 senate meeting a month, but you try to make it to all of them right?
 - i) Yes.
- b) How many senate meetings have I served as speaker?
 - i) I believe one.
- c) Regarding your requirements to attend eboard meetings, would you say minutes are taken at every meeting?
 - i) No.
- d) Would you say theres meeting minutes for every meeting of every month?
 - i) No.
- e) Would you say theres meeting minutes for the first and last meetings of the month?
 - i) No.

7. Witness 4, Michelle Juarez, Direct Examination

- a) How long have you been a part of SGA?
 - i) I started my freshman year as an intern. In it ever since. Now I am a vice president.
- b) Can you tell the court what your current involvement in SGA is?
 - i) I am the current VP. Connection between executive and legislative branches.

- c) What does your job consist of?
 - i) I attend eboard, senate and cabinet meetings.
- d) Just to clarify, you attend weekly eboard meetings?
 - i) Yes.
- e) What do we talk about at these meetings?
 - i) Reports from each branch of what they are working on and individual reports of what they may want to bring up.
- f) It's safe to say you've heard about the senate surveys?
 - i) Yes.
- g) When did you first hear about them?
 - i) I would say between September and October.
- h) How did you hear about them?
 - i) Our advisor, michelle, reminded senate leadership that they needed to complete the surveys for senators to complete.
- i) How many times would you say we talked about he surveys at eboard?
 - i) At least 3 or 4 times.
- j) Were there any times outside of eboard meetings that the surveys came up?
 - i) Yes. In one of the early nov. senate meetings i do remember that there was a working period where they worked on creating survey questions. Either 1st or 2nd meeting or nov.
- k) What was your involvement with the surveys?
 - i) At first i decided not to involve myself. However, after it was getting late in the semester i ended up compiling the questions myself.
- l) What do you mean by compiling the questions?
 - i) After the senate meeting, i was approached by peter, which i spoke to you about to set up a meeting to compile through email. Waited to see if meeting would happen. After 2 weeks, i decided to do it myself.
- m) So you're saying you contacted me and you were talking to peter about scheduling a meeting for the surveys?
 - i) Yes.
- n) When you were making the surveys did peter help you?
 - i) No.
- o) When was the last you heard of the surveys?
 - i) I want to say i was in the office one night and he approached me how he was upset that he was being held accountable. I said it was a learning experience. He

proceeded to say that it wasn't fair and the only way to complete it was to say he needed to falsify them.

- p) This was the only time you had heard of him mentioning that?
 - i) I heard about the surveys again in our last eboard meeting towards the end of the semester when Peter again said how he was going to fake the surveys in order to meet the requirement in time.
 - ii) The conversation was brought up again to use fake ID's, have people in his organization pretend to be nursing students when they weren't. Which is when i approached my advisor, Shannon, because i thought it wasn't something that should've been said.
- q) What do you mean by having people in the organization saying they're nursing students?
 - i) I know he was learning assistant in his organization and could have them forge the surveys for them

8. Witness 4, Michelle Juarez, Cross Examination

- a) What's your position in SGA?
 - i) Vice President.
- b) What are your duties?
 - i) Attend 3 types of meetings, link between branches
- c) It is safe to say that vp serves as help to the president?
 - i) Yes.
- d) What does that job entail?
 - i) It is a title that comes into effect when there is a tie in the senate.
- e) Regarding eboard, do you remember what i said the week of september 27th?
 - i) No.
- f) Do you remember what i said the last eboard meeting?
 - i) Yes. It was at a later date.
- g) Do you remember what i said the first eboard meeting of the semester?
 - i) Yes, I remember that you said you would give an update on surveys and leadership.
- h) The first Spring semester eboard meeting was more recent than the last eboard meeting in Fall?
 - i) No, the first eboard meeting of the semester was before sept. and oct.
- i) That's all i said?
- j) To your knowledge were minutes taken at the eboard minutes?
 - i) Some of them, yes.
- k) Are those public on orgsync?

- i) They are to be made public
- l) Who has access to orgsync?
 - i) The clerks and advisors.
- m) Back to your position, who comprises senate leadership?
 - i) Speaker and speaker pro tempore.

E. Defendant's Case in Chief:

1. Witness 1, Senator Rocio Taveras, Direct Examination:

- a) What's your position in SGA?
 - (1) Nursing Senator.
- b) How many nursing senators are there?
 - (1) 2.
- c) What are some of your obligations as senator?
 - (1) As far as I knew, go to the monday meetings and attend whatever events they have.
- d) You need to meet with your dean and complete surveys. Regarding surveys, are you aware there's surveys made?
 - (1) Yes.
- e) How many are complete?
 - (1) 2.
- f) Did you complete one of the surveys?
 - (1) Yes.
- g) Who completed the other survey?
 - (1) You.
- h) Do you hold leadership position in the senate at the moment?
 - (1) At the moment i just took over the chair for rules and regulations.
- i) What's your role as chair for rules and regulations?
 - (1) Looking over the bills that come through, which i have not received yet.
- j) Have you attended a majority of the senate meetings in fall?
 - (1) Yes.
- k) How many senate meetings was i senate speaker, filling in for Santi?
 - (1) 1.
- l) How many times were the senate surveys brought up to the senate?
 - (1) A few times, maybe. I do not have a definite number.

2. Witness 1, Senator Rocio Taveras, Cross Examination:

- a) You attended almost every senate meeting right?
 - (1) That is correct.
- b) You attended sessions in the summer and october right?
 - (1) That is correct.

- c) So during those times you remember me mentioning the surveys?
(1) That is correct.
 - d) In the beginning of the semester, when you were first selected we had a meeting with michelle castro.
 - e) Did you read the statutes?
(1) Right, I did.
 - f) You read everyone had to complete surveys?
(1) I don't remember specifically but I remember it was said.
3. Witness 2, Stephanie Gutierrez, Direct Examination:
- a) What is your position in SGA?
(1) Financial chair and college of business senator.
 - b) Would you say the finance chair is part of senate leadership?
(1) Yes.
 - c) Who is the one who plans senate leadership meetings?
(1) You and Sasha.
 - d) Who's the person that has contacted you for these meetings?
(1) You, the majority of the time.
 - e) Are you aware of the requirements a senator has?
(1) Yes.
 - f) Can you tell me the requirements and obligations are?
(1) To attend regular meetings, fill out surveys, be responsible in the sense of what we stand for.
 - g) Regarding surveys, did you regularly go to fall meetings?
(1) Yes.
 - h) Were surveys mentioned at every meetings?
(1) Yes, the majority of the time.
 - i) From those fall semester meetings, how many of those was I senate speaker?
(1) 1, because Sasha could not stand.
 - j) So i was the speaker at all the meetings?
(1) Mhm.
 - k) Are you familiar with my job description?
(1) Mhm. You work to assist.
 - l) Would you say I assisted sasha for example: at events?
(1) Mhm.
4. Witness 2, Stephanie Gutierrez, Cross Examination:
- a) You understand your obligations?
(1) Mhm.
 - b) You attended meeting with michelle castro in the beginning when she gave us our statutes?
(1) Yes.
 - c) Did you read the statutes?
(1) Yes.

- d) Did you mention that for senate leadership you spoke to both of us?
(1) Yes.
 - e) You mentioned one of the people that reached out to you was Peter?
(1) Yes.
 - f) Although i did not attend most of those senate leadership meetings, did we have a one on one conversation?
(1) Yes, we did.
5. Witness 3, Cooper Eisinger, Direct Examination:
- a) What is your current position in SGA?
(1) My current position is one of the 4 lower division senators
 - b) Do you know your duties?
(1) I believe so.
 - c) Can you state them?
(1) Attend meetings mondays from 4-6, attend 5 or 20% of meetings, complete 100 survey.
 - d) So you said there 4 lower division senators?
(1) Correct.
 - e) Do you have survey link for ur college?
(1) Yes.
 - f) How many responses dos your college have?
(1) I'm not quite sure.
 - g) Would you say you have 400 responses, 100 for each?
(1) Not at the moment, i think we have around 150-200.
 - h) How long have you known about these surveys?
(1) Since we were told to conduct surveys at inauguration but sometime in the fall.
 - i) Who sent this email?
(1) I remember getting one from you. That's all i remember at the moment.
 - j) Did you go to senate meetings regularly?
(1) Yes. I attended every single one.
 - k) How many was I the senate speaker at?
(1) One time.
 - l) Do you remember the senate surveys mentioned at every single senate meeting in the Fall semester?
(1) I believe so, can't say every time but quite a lot. It was mentioned numerous times.
6. Witness 3, Cooper Eisinger, Cross Examination:
- a) You said you attended every senate meeting in fall?
(1) Yes, in the fall and spring.

- b) In the beginning of your term, did you attend the meeting with Michelle Castro when she gave us the statutes?
(1) Yes.
 - c) Did you read the statutes?
(1) Yes.
 - d) The statutes mentioned the 100 surveys right?
(1) I believe so.
 - e) How many times would you guess the surveys were mentioned in the semester?
(1) 16 week semester, anywhere between 12 and 16.
 - f) You said you received the survey later on in the semester?
(1) I don't recall. The most recent one receiving was at the end of fall.
 - g) Who sent the email?
(1) Most recent one, Peter.
 - h) Who mentioned surveys previously to that?
(1) Everyone on eboard, I believe.
7. Witness 4, Michelle Castro, Direct Examination:
- a) What is your position in SGA?
i) Assistant director for campus life...
 - b) How long have you been an advisor for SGA?
i) 5 years going on 6
 - c) What are the duties of senate speaker pro temp?
i) Fulfill statutory requirements, work with speaker, make sure senate runs as needed
 - d) Do you attend all senate meetings?
i) Not always, not 100%
 - e) But you do review the minutes, correct?
i) As often as I can, I try to every week.
 - f) How many senate meetings was I the senate speaker?
i) Possibly 2.
 - g) Eboard meetings, are minutes taken every time?
i) No.
 - h) Have you seen eboard meetings taken down and posted for Fall?
i) No, they're not a requirement.
8. Witness 4, Michelle Castro, Cross Examination:
- a) You advise SGA right?
i) Yes.
 - b) You do attend weekly meetings?
i) When i'm in town, yes.
 - c) You attend all our senate meetings correct?
i) Most of however many i can.

- d) You share statues with everyone, you know every senator needs 100 surveys?
 - i) Yup.
- e) One of my jobs is to oversee the surveys get completed correct?
 - i) Yes.
- f) The speaker pro temp has to help me fulfill my duties.
 - i) Yes.
- g) When we didn't complete surveys, you addressed it to me and Peter at the eboard meetings right?
 - i) Yes.
- h) Peter sent out surveys correct?
 - i) Yes.
- i) This wasn't done until a couple days before finals week right?
 - i) I believe so.
- j) To your knowledge, we didn't get the 100 by the end of the semester right?
 - i) Correct, because that was when they were finished.
- k) Do you as an advisor feel you treat Peter differently ?
 - i) stricken.
- l) We agreed to make individual links to the surveys in the eboard meetings right?
 - i) I can't remember but yes they were separated.
- m) Did you have a conversation with Peter to just make one link?
 - i) No because they were separated regardless. Requested to be separated into links for each senator.
- n) Would you say this hearing is uncalled for?
 - i) Possibly. I don't believe that needed to become a court matter. I advise everyone the same and they need to follow their statues the same.
- o) Would you say this hearing is wrong?
 - i) You're following your statues so no. You're doing what you believe is do-diligence as the documents you use to support this.

F. Plaintiff Closing Argument

1. Time allotted: 10 minutes
2. The reason we were here to begin with is about the surveys and the ethics of the survey. On three separate occasions, the topic of the falsifying was a topic. He mentions on various occasions that he was responsible for his job and to assist me on my job. But if you had a speaker that wasn't doing their job, wouldn't it be his responsibility to step up and say hey you aren't doing our job. Both of us are responsible but then it is one's responsibility to step up for the other. I didn't get to hear

the testimony of mrs. rodriguez but she works more personally with him than mrs. castro. But finally, it is his responsibility for him to step up and take responsibility.

G. Defendant's Closing Argument

1. Time allotted: 10 minutes

2. I was chair and speaker for one senate meeting, if she's at all of them to my knowledge she can do her job. I'm not here to evaluate her, i'm here to assist. I do seek advisors help more often than I should, but what other choice do I have when I have an eboard that's very biased in terms of everything. Regarding code of ethic, I've never done what was said I was going to do. Yes, I'm not denying I didn't say it. I openly admit I said it. If anyone knew me like I thought they would, they would know I wouldn't falsify the surveys. I did complete one and as senator Tavaras said she completed another. This has been a learning experience, but the whole point of this court hearing is to hold accountability and accountability is found in every aspect. I personally think I've done my best and at the end of the day that's all i could give so the rest is up to you guys

H. Trial End Time: 3:07 P.M.

III. DELIBERATION:

A. Deliberation Start Time: Wednesday February 1, 2017 10:05 P.M.

B. Vote Count on Verdict

1. Issues

- a) Senator speaker pro tempore failed in his duty to ensure that the senators followed article §3.05 D IV
- b) Whether the Senate speaker Pro Tempore is responsible for ensuring that the senators complete their statutory responsibilities in the absence of the senate speaker
- c) Whether the speaker pro tempore knowingly submitted false information in his capacity as a student government official
- d) Whether the speaker pro tempore failed to disclose knowledge of corruption in the student government association
- e) Whether a student who has participated in corrupt behavior is required to disclose his or her own corrupt behavior
- f) Whether the speaker pro tempore failed to perform his duties with due diligence and make a continuous effort towards improvement, and maintain the highest standards of performance, conduct, cooperation, and professionalism.
- g) Whether the speaker pro tempore conducted himself in a manner which shall reflect the credibility and professional standard of the Student Government Association, and shall uphold these principles.

2. Facts

- a) Sashi Santti is both a senator and senate speaker
- b) Peter hernandez is both a senator and senate speaker pro tempore
- c) The senate speaker is the chief administrative office of the senate
- d) The speaker pro tempore shall assist the speaker in his or her duties

- e) All senators are required to administer surveys every semester in which they must receive 100 responses exclusively from students within their college
- f) No senator met the statutory requirement to complete the survey in article §3.05 D IV
- g) Surveys were brought to their attention at various points throughout the summer and fall semesters
- h) It was often time mentioned at executive board meetings where the speaker and the speaker pro tempore were present
- i) Survey links were sent out at the end of the semester
- j) The senate speaker attended the majority of the senate meetings
- k) Surveys were discussed at the last eboard of the semester
- l) Minutes were not taken at the majority of executive board meetings
- m) President, vice president, chief of staff, comptroller, chief justice, speaker, and speaker pro temp attend eboard meetings
- n) The sga advisor reminded the senate leadership of their survey requirements at eboard
- o) Pro tempore Hernandez approached the Vice President Juarez about working together on the surveys
- p) After two weeks of waiting with no response for initiation from Pro Tempore Hernandez, Vice president decided to compile the surveys herself
- q) ____ surveys were completed for the college of Nursing
- r) Pro Tempore Hernandez sent out the survey once compiled
- s) Pro Tempore Hernandez mentioned falsifying survey responses on three different occasions
- t) Pro Tempore Hernandez openly admitted in court that he said he would falsify survey responses.

3. Notes

- a) The advisor agrees that it was the pro temps job to help the speaker fulfill her duties
- b) No minutes were taken at eboard minutes so there is no direct proof he said these things

4. Holding

- a) (1) Fail in job to ensure senate for surveys 4/4 Guilty
- b) (2) Provided false information 3/4 Not Guilty
- c) (3) Disclose knowledge of corruption 4/4 Not Guilty
- d) (4) Perform duties with due diligence (higher standards-performance, conduct, cooperation, professionalism) 4/4 Guilty
- e) (5) Conducted manners credibility 4/4 Guilty

5. Verdict

- a) Removed from the position of Pro Tempore and voting privileges are revoked until he collects 200 survey responses (100 surveys to account for Fall and 100 to account for Spring)

C. Deliberation End Time: Sunday February 12, 2017 10:00 P.M.