Elections Board Meeting Minutes  
Date: 03/08/2023

I. Call to Order  
   a. Meeting called to order at 5:09PM

II. Roll Call  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Present/Absent</th>
<th>Required Attendance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anna Meredith</td>
<td>Elections Commissioner</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanie Montes</td>
<td>Deputy Elections Commissioner</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelvin Bencosme</td>
<td>Elections Board Member</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Cobas</td>
<td>Elections Board Member</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. Unfinished Business  
   a. n/a

IV. New Business  
   a. Campaign Violation 1: Matthew Gaynor  
      i. Opening statements:  
      ii. Matthew Gaynor: Kaily LaChappelle was reprimanded for using PSU to promote their electoral campaign and was given a tier-3 violation. Despite this, they have put on their posters that they were endorsed by PSU, which is funded by ANS and 6005 violation  
      iii. Kaily LaChappelle: what is and isn’t SGA property is subjective. The statutes aren’t explicit on what belongs to SGA. It was not an intentional breakage of the rules and the logo in question has been in existence longer than SGA  
      iv. Closing Statements
Matthew: whether intentional or not, the logo is now part of an org funded by ANS, which is breaking the rules. Because it’s been previously ruled on, while they may or may not have done this on purpose, it’s the second time this has happened and it needs to be looked at and reviewed, because it seems like a violation.

Kaily: the elections code is very subjective. It doesn’t explicitly define whether bureau orgs are a use of SGA property/funds. It was not intentional and it’s not an egregious act which is what would make it a tier 1 violation.

Deliberation:

Alex: this time it’s different than last time with the Instagram post because this now carries the weight of the organization.

Anna: it doesn’t feel like a formal endorsement because it’s just a logo rather than an official endorsement. Saying you’re a member of the org is just mentioning it and how you may advocate it.

Anna: it does not feel like a formal endorsement because it’s just a logo rather than an official endorsement. Saying you’re a member of the org is just mentioning it and how you may advocate it.

Alex: difference between being a member and being endorsed.

Anna: the writ is about using ANS funds, which a logo you can get from the internet and posting it on a personal Instagram, isn’t using fees. Anyone can say they’re endorsed by something.

Alex: the weight of the supposed endorsement gives an unfair advantage, so it’s wrong especially if it’s an SGA funded org.

Melanie: endorsement is wrong but it’s a confusing grey area.

Kelvin: being endorsed by SGA or one of its orgs is not allowed.

Anna: it’s not explicitly mentioned in the rule, so we’re setting a new precedent right now.

Alex: recommends doing a censure against Kaily.

Melanie: motion to find Kaily guilty of violating section 6005.9, which is a tier one violation by roll call vote.

Anna: SECONDED.

ANNA: NAY.

MELANIE: NAY.

ALEX: NAY.

KELVIN: NAY.

Anna: motion to find Kaily guilty of violating section 6005.9, on a tier 3 violation.

Melanie: SECONDED.
xxv. ANNA: YAY
xxvi. MELANIE: YAY
xxvii. ALEX: YAY
xxviii. KELVIN: YAY

b. Campaign Violation 2:
   i. Opening Statements
   ii. Matthew Gaynor: The Future Is You should have contacted all its candidates to make sure they weren’t posting anything against the elections code. 6005.10 says the party should be responsible for all candidate violations/endorsements
   iii. Kaily LaChappelle: that wasn’t previously known, and the party didn’t know either. It was neither intentional nor done through the party. Everyone in the party got together to review the elections code and make sure no rules were being broken.
   iv. Closing Statements:
   v. Matthew: two candidates posted being endorsed by the PSU and the previous hearing proves, it’s a tier-3 violation. The party has continued to allow their candidates to keep breaking the statutes
   vi. Santana Way: it feels like specific members of the party are being singled out. It shouldn’t be a tier1 violation. The PSU is a bureau, once the party realized the mistake, they fixed it, so why should there be a punishment for something that has been fixed.
   vii. Deliberation:
   viii. Anna: this doesn’t make sense because if Kaily didn’t know then the rest didn’t either
   ix. Alex
   x. Motion: motion to find the Future is You party guilty of a violating section 6010.3, through a tier-1 violation
   xi. ANNA: NAY
   xii. MELANIE: NAY
   xiii. ALEX: NAY
   xiv. Kelvin: left at 5:45PM, before this vote

c. Campaign Violation 3:

d. Campaign Violation 4:

e. Elections Board Members

f. Advisor Report
V. Announcement
   a. GC PIT ELECTION RESULT ANNOUNCEMENT

VI. Meeting Adjournment
   a. Meeting adjourned at 5:55PM